

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/771,784	02/04/2004	Adeyinka Adedeji	08CN8764-8	5172
75	90 08/29/2006		EXAMINER	
John B. Yates General Electric Company One Plastics Avenue Pittsfield, MA 01201			SZEKELY, PETER A	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1714	- <u> </u>
			DATE MAILED: 08/29/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		h /				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/771,784	ADEDEJI ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Peter Szekely	1714				
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet wi	ith the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by standard period for reply will be standard period for rep	DATE OF THIS COMMUNIO R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a r riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON atute, cause the application to become AB	CATION. eply be timely filed ITHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 1.	4 July 2006.					
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)☐ 1	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.					
	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •					
closed in accordance with the practice unde	er <i>Ex parte Quayl</i> e, 1935 C.D). 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>17-24</u> is/are pending in the applica	ation.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>17-24</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction an	nd/or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exam	niner.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ :						
Applicant may not request that any objection to	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	· ·				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the cor	•					
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	e Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) All b) Some * c) None of:		§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
1. Certified copies of the priority docum						
2. Certified copies of the priority docum						
 Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International But 	•	received in this National Stage				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a		received.				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)				
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB 	·	s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	<u>_</u> .				

Application/Control Number: 10/771,784 Page 2

Art Unit: 1714

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 2. Claims 17-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Abolins et al. 4,504,613 or Lee, Jr. 5,008,314.
- 3. The anticipation rejection, the new matter rejection and the double patenting rejection are withdrawn by the examiner due to applicants' response. The obviousness rejection is maintained because of the reasons expounded in the following paragraph.

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments filed 7/14/06 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The examiner acknowledges that none of the cited references mentions pallets. However, Abolins et al. in column 6, lines 20-42 list a plethora of articles which can be molded from their claimed composition including major household appliances, while Lee, Jr.-s compound is explicitly for molding. See the Abstract and column 6, lines 61-66. Applicants' claimed pallet has no structure and it is just another molded article, which can be conveniently molded from flame-retardant, impact resistant molding compounds. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art; at the time the invention was made, to use the compounds of the cited references for molded plastic pallets. As far as meeting the standard of the claimed UL 2335 protocol for pallets is concerned, applicants' claims do not show the amounts of flame-retardants needed to meet these standards. Applicants' specification however on page 21, lines

Application/Control Number: 10/771,784 Page 3

Art Unit: 1714

11-18; show a preferred range of phosphate flame-retardants of 10-30 parts. Since Abolins et al. disclose up to 20 parts of phosphate flame-retardants in claim 22, and Lee, Jr. teaches up to 15 parts of phosphate flame-retardants in column 6, lines 32-48, that limitation has been met.

Conclusion

5. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Peter Szekely whose telephone number is (571) 272-1124. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:00 a.m.-5:30 p.m. Tuesday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vasu Jagannathan can be reached on (571) 272-1119. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/771,784 Page 4

Art Unit: 1714

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Peter Szekely Primary Examiner Art Unit 1714

P.S. 8/23/06